Excitations of photon-number states in Kerr nonlinear resonator at finite temperatures

G. H. Hovsepyan[1,*] and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan[1,2,†]

[1] *Institute for Physical Researches, National Academy of Sciences, Ashtarak-2, 0203, Ashtarak, Armenia*

[2] *Yerevan State University, Centre of Quantum Technologies and New Materials, Alex Manoogian 1, 0025, Yerevan, Armenia*

We investigate temperature reservoir effects in a lossy Kerr nonlinear resonator considering selective excitation of ooscillatory mode driven by a sequence of Gaussian pulses. In this way, we analyze time-dependent populations of photon-number states and quantum statistics on the base of the second-order photon correlation function in one-photon and two-photon transitions. The effects coming from thermal reservoirs are interesting for performing more realistic approach to generate Fock states and for study of phenomena connecting quantum engineering and temperature. We also study the role of pulse-shape effects for selective excitation of oscillatory mode.

arXiv:1410.1021v2 [quant-ph] 29 Mar 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

Anharmonic oscillators or resonators involving Kerr-type nonlinearities have been an interesting research topic due to their broad applications in technology and fundamental physics [1], [2]. Recently, this systems with strong parameters of the nonlinearity are also attracting considerable attention for investigation of various quantum effects. The quantum dynamics of the Kerr nonlinear resonator (KNR) is naturally described by Fock states, which have a defnite number of energy quanta. For systems with strong Kerr nonlinearity, leading to the photon-photon interaction in QED systems, the nonlinearity makes frequencies of transitions between adjacent oscillatory energy levels different, i.e. nonlinearity effects in an anharmonic oscillator break the equidistance of oscillatory energy levels. Thus, in the case of strong nonlinearity the oscillatory energy levels are well resolved and spectroscopic selective excitation of transitions between Fock states at the level of a few quanta becomes to be possible. This regime has been demonstrated in the photon blockade (PB) [3–7], generation of Fock states and superposition states in KNRs [7–10] and on changing PB into electromagnetically-induced transparency [11]. Note, that nonstationary PB in a context of Fock states generation was predicted in [12] for short-time evolution of a kicked KNR and then in [13]. The nonstationary Kerr PB is often referred to as a nonlinear optical-state truncation or nonlinear quantum scissors [14].

The important parameter responsible for KNR to reach quantum regimes is the ratio between the parameter of the Kerr-type nonlinearity and damping of the oscillatory mode. Thus, efficiency of quantum nonlinear effects requires a high nonlinearity with respect to dissipation. In this respect, strong nonlinearities on a few-photon level can be produced by interaction between photons and an atom in a cavity [15–18], in systems with interacting photons or polaritons in arrays of cavities coupled to atoms or qubits [19–22], in optomechanical systems and Kerr type nonlinear cavities [23, 24]. An important implementation of Kerr-type microwave resonator has been recently achieved in the context of superconducting devices based on the nonlinearity of the Josephson junction revealing nonlinear behavior even at the single-photon level [25–27].

Note, that most theoretical proposals on investigation of quantum effects in nonlinear Kerr resonators are focused on using idealized cases of zero temperature reservoirs since they can lead to the study of pure quantum effects. However, consideration of the reservoir at finite temperatures leads to applications in simulating of more realistic systems as well as to study of unusual quantum phenomena connecting quantum engineering and temperature.

In this paper we investigate finite temperature reservoir effects in the dissipative KNR considering selective excitation in transitions between Fock states. For this goal we investigate selective excitation in the pulsed regime, considering KNR driven by a sequence of classical Gaussian pulses separated by time intervals. This system has been used in the field of PB and generation of Fock states [6, 8, 9] as well as for production of superpositions states in a mesoscopic range [28] and for demonstration of chaos at a low level of quanta [29, 30].

It has been demonstrated in the cited papers, that in the specific pulsed regime the obtained results considerably differ from those derived for the case of continuous-wave driving. On the whole, the production of the Fock states as well as the superposition of the Fock states can be controlled by shape of pulses and is realized for time-intervals exceeding the characteristic time of decoherence. Thus, the other goal of the present paper is investigation of various regimes of selective excitation in dependence from the parameters of pulses. In this way, we demonstrate improving of the degree of quantum effects in KNR by applying the sequence of tailored pulses. This approach was recently exploited for formation of high degree continuous-variable entanglement in the nondegenerate optical parametric oscillator [31, 32]

Our consideration is based on the Hamiltonian of KNR

* gor.hovsepyan@ysu.am

† kryuchkyan@ysu.am

coupled with finite temperature reservoir and the master equation for the reduced density matrix. We focus on analysis of the mean photon number, the probability distributions of photons and the second-order correlation functions of photons for zero-delay time that describes quantum statistics of oscillatory mode in thermal Kerr resonator. It should be mentioned that thermal reservoir effects have been widely investigated in nonlinear optical processes, particularly, for the resonance fluorescence in monochromatic field [33–35] and for the resonance fluorescence in bichromatic field [36–38].

The paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II we describe periodically pulsed KNR coupled with a finite temperature reservoir. In Sec. III we consider one-photon and two-photon selective excitations on the base of populations of photon-number states and the second-order correlation functions. In subsection 3.3 we also discuss dynamics of Fock states populations in dependence from both the duration of pulses and amplitude of pump field. We summarize our results in Sec. IV.

II. KERR NONLINEAR RESONATOR COUPLED WITH THERMAL RESERVOIR

In this section, we give the theoretical description of the system. The Kerr nonlinear resonator driven by the field at the central frequency ω and interacting with a reservoir is described by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \hbar\omega_0 a^+ a + \hbar\chi a^{+2}a^2 + \\ +\hbar f(t)(\Omega e^{-i\omega t}a^+ + \Omega^* e^{i\omega t}a) + H_{loss}. \quad (1)$$

Here, time-dependent coupling constant $\Omega f(t)$ proportional to the driving field amplitude consists of the Gaussian pulses with the duration T separated by time intervals τ

$$f(t) = \sum e^{-(t-t_0-n\tau)^2/T^2}, \quad (2)$$

while a^+, a are the creation and annihilation operators, ω_0 is an oscillatory frequency, χ is the nonlinearity parameter.

$H_{loss} = a\Gamma^+ + a^+\Gamma$ is responsible for the linear losses of oscillatory states, due to couplings with heat reservoir operators giving rise to the damping rate γ. We trace out the reservoir degrees of freedom in the Born-Markov limit assuming that system and environment are uncorrelated at initial time t = 0. This procedure leads to the master equation for the reduced density matrix. The master equation within the framework of the rotating-wave approximation, in the interaction picture corresponding to the transformation $\rho \to e^{-i\omega a^+ at}\rho e^{i\omega a^+ at}$ reads as

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{eff}, \rho] + \sum_{i=1,2}\left(L_i\rho L_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}L_i^\dagger L_i\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho L_i^\dagger L_i\right), \quad (3)$$

where $L_1 = \sqrt{(n_{th}+1)\gamma}a$ and $L_2 = \sqrt{n_{th}\gamma}a^+$ are the Lindblad operators, γ is a dissipation rate, and n_{th} denotes the mean number of quanta of a heat bath, $n_{th} = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/kT_B}-1}$. The effective Hamiltonian reads as

$$H_{eff} = \hbar\Delta a^+ a + \hbar\chi a^{+2}a^2 + \hbar f(t)(\Omega a^+ + \Omega^* a), \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega$ is the detuning between the mean frequency of the driving field and the frequency of the oscillator.

Note, that analytical results for a dissipative driven nonlinear oscillator in continius-wave, steady-state regimes have been obtained in terms of the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation for the quasiprobability distribution function $P(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ in complex P-representation [39]. This approach based on the method of potential equations leads to the analytic solution for the quasiprobability distribution function $P(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ within the framework of an exact nonlinear treatment of quantum fluctuations. In this way, the probability distribution of photon-number states and Wigner functions have been also obtained [40–42].

This model seems experimentally feasible and can be realized in several physical systems. Particularly, the effective Hamiltonian (4) (with $f(t) = 1$) describes a qubit off-resonantly coupled to a driven cavity. In fact, it is well known that the Hamiltonian of a two-level atom interacting with the cavity mode in the dispersive approximation, if the two-level system remains in its ground state, can be reduced to the effective Hamiltonian (4). This model also describes a nanomechanical oscillator with $a^\dagger$ and a raising and lowering operators related to the position and momentum operators of a mode of quantum motion. The other implementation is a transmission-line resonator involved the Josephson junction with quadratic part of the Josephson potential. In this case the a^+ and a raising and lowering operators describe the normal mode of the resonator junction circuit [43]. Kerr-like systems seems to be important also for production of quantum correlation and maximally entangled stated. They were discussed, for instance, in [44–47].

III. SELECTIVE EXCITATION OF FOCK STATES

In the absence of any driving, states of the Hamiltonian (4) are the Fock photon states $|n\rangle$ which are spaced in the energies $E_n = E_0 + \hbar\omega_0 n + \hbar\chi n(n-1)$ with $n = 0, 1, ...$ The levels form an anharmonic ladder with anharmonicity that is given by $E_{21} - E_{10} = 2\hbar\chi$. For strong nonlinearities $\chi/\gamma > 1$ the nonlinear shifts of oscillatory energetic levels exceed the radiative widths of these levels. In this case the selective resonance excitations of Fock states in the transitions $|m\rangle \to |n\rangle$ are possible due to interaction with driving field. Considering the various selective excitations from vacuum state, in the multiphoton transitions $|0\rangle \to |n\rangle$, we obtain the resonance n-photon

transitions between oscillatory initial and final states on the frequencies $n\omega_n = E_{n0} = n\omega_0 + \chi n(n-1)$. Thus, the detuning for the resonant frequencies $\Delta_n = \omega_0 - \omega_n$ is calculated as $\Delta_n = -\chi(n-1)$. For one-photon transition, $n = 1$, and $E_{10} = \hbar\omega_0$, the resonance frequency is $\omega_1 = \omega_0$, for two-photon transition, $n = 2$, and $E_{20} = 2\hbar\omega_0 + 2\chi$, the two-photon resonance frequency is $\omega_2 = \omega_0 + \chi$, while for $n = 3$, $E_{30} = 3\hbar\omega_0 + 6\chi$, the resonance frequency is $\omega_3 = \omega_0 + 2\chi$. Below, we concentrate on quantum regimes for the parameters leading to resolved oscillatory energy levels calculating the mean photon number, the photon-number distributions and the second-order correlation functions for photonic mode.

Considering the pulsed regimes of Kerr nonlinear reservoir we assume that the spectral widths of pulses should be smaller than the nonlinear shifts of the oscillatory energy levels. It means that the duration of pulses should be larger than $1/\chi$. Thus, for strong nonlinearities $\chi/\gamma > 1$, we arrive to the following inequalities for the duration of Gaussian pulses $1/\gamma > T > 1/\chi$. Note, that the temporal pulse separation is larger than the cavity photon lifetime.

We solve the master equation Eq. (3) numerically based on quantum state diffusion method. The applications of this method for studies of driven anharmonic oscillator can be found in [6, 8, 9, 29]. In the calculations, a finite basis of number states $|n\rangle$ is kept large enough (where n_{max} is typically 50) so that the highest energy states are never populated appreciably. In the following the main photon number and the distributions of photon numbers $P(n) = \langle n|\rho|n\rangle$ will be analyzed for various level of thermal photons. We also turn to calculation of the normalized second-order correlation function for zero delay time $g^{(2)}$ defined as:

$$g^{(2)}(t) = \frac{\langle a^\dagger(t)a^\dagger(t)a(t)a(t)\rangle}{(\langle a^\dagger(t)a(t)\rangle)^2} \qquad (5)$$

for observation of photon statistics and photon correlation effects. Moreover, the correlation function is expressed through the mean photon number fluctuations as $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle = \langle n\rangle + \langle n\rangle^2(g^{(2)} - 1)$. Thus, the condition $g^{(2)} < 1$ corresponds to the sub-Poissonian statistics, $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle < \langle n\rangle$.

In the pulsed regime these quantities are nonstationary and exhibit a periodic time-dependent behavior, i.e. repeat the periodicity of the pump laser in an over transient regime. Besides this, the results depend on the parameters of Gaussian pulses such as the amplitude, the duration of pulses and the time-interval between them, dissipation rates and Kerr-interaction coupling. Thus, we investigate production of photonic states for an arbitrary interaction time-intervals including also time-intervals exceeding the characteristic time of dissipative processes, $t \gg \gamma^{-1}$. In the cited paper [6], only the case of KNR with the mean number of reservoir photons $N = 0.001$ has been considered. In addition to this investigation, bellow we present systematic analyse of KNR for the wide range of thermal photon numbers including also vacuum case, $N = 0$.



FIG. 1. The selective excitations in KNR at zero temperature for both cases of the detuning $\Delta_1 = 0$ (a), (b) and $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi$ (c), (d). The populations of photon-number states versus time-intervals (a), (c). The averaged photon numbers and the second-order correlation function versus time-intervals (b), (d). The parameters are: (a), (b) $\chi/\gamma = 15$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 6$; (c), (d) $\chi/\gamma = 30$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 12$. The mean number of reservoir photons $n_{th} = 0$ and $\tau = 5.5\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.4\gamma^{-1}$.

A. The case of reservoir at zero temperature

In this subsection, we consider the case of reservoir at zero temperature when only pure quantum effects occur. The typical results at the detuning $\Delta = \Delta_1 = 0$, i. e. at the frequency $\omega = \omega_0$, corresponding to one-photon transition $|0\rangle \to |1\rangle$ are depicted in Figs.1 for $T = 0K$.

The populations P_0, P_1 of the photon-number states $|n\rangle$ versus time-intervals is shown in Fig.1 (a), while the mean photon number and the correlation function are displayed in Fig.1 (b) for zero detuning $\Delta = 0$. These results show the selective excitations of the Fock state $|1\rangle$. In this case the excitation power is also small therefore the high excitation numbers have not been occupied. In the result only the $|1\rangle$ is effectively excitated. As we see, the population P_1 reachs up to 0.8 at the maxima of the average number of photons. The nonstationary correlation function versus dimensionless time intervals is shown in Fig.1 (b) with the curve of mean photon number. As we see, the average number of photons at frequency ω_0 follows almost the train of Gaussian pulses. The second small peak corresponds to Rabi oscillations and the broadening of the shapes in comparisson with Gaussian envelopes are due to the radiative decays of the



FIG. 2. Reservoir effects in the selective excitations in KNR for two cases of the detuning: $\Delta_1 = 0$ (a), (b) and $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi$ (c), (d). The populations of photon-number states (a), (c). The averaged photon numbers and the second-order correlation function (b), (d). The parameters are: (a), (b) $\chi/\gamma = 15$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 6$; (c), (d) $\chi/\gamma = 30$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 12$. The mean number of reservoir photons $n_{th} = 0.1$ and the parameters of pulses are: $\tau = 5.5\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.4\gamma^{-1}$.



FIG. 3. The maximal values of population $P_1(t)$ versus n_{th}. The parameters are: $\Delta = 0$, $\chi/\gamma = 15$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 6$, $\tau = 5.5\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.4\gamma^{-1}$.

mode in a resonator. The mean photon number equals to $\langle n\rangle$=0.9 and is approximatelly presented as $\langle n\rangle = P_1 + 2P_2$=0.9 in this regime. Thus, time-dependence of $\langle n(t)\rangle$ repeats the behaviour of the population P_1.

During the pulses, if P_1 reachs to the maximum, the probability of generation of a second photon in the mode at the frequency $\omega = \omega_0$ is suppressed that is demonstrated in the behavior of $g^{(2)}(t)$. In this case oscillatory mode acquires sub-poissonian statistics with the second-order correlation function $g^{(2)} < 1$. As calculations show, $g^{(2)} = 0.12$ at the maximum values $\langle n(t)\rangle = 0.9$ and is zero at the second small peak. This results are in accordance with the result that for the pure $|1\rangle$ Fock state the normalized second-order correlation function is zero.

The results for the other operational regime for zero-temperature reservoir are depicted in Fig.1 (c),(d). Here, we assume the regime of two-photon excitation of resonator mode, choosing $\Delta = \Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2$, at the frequency of resonance transition $\omega_2 = \omega_0 + \chi$. Thus, in this case the detuning is $\Delta/\gamma = -\chi/\gamma$. These results indicate an effective two-photon selective excitation as illustrated in Fig.1 (c). As we see, in this regime of two-photon excitation the maximal population $P_2 = 0.64$ exceeds the maximal population of one-photon state $P_1 = 0.3$. The time evolution of the mean photon number versus dimensionless time is depicted in Fig. 1 (d) with the plot of the second-order correlation function. In this case, the maximal value of mean photon numbers reachs to $\langle n(t)\rangle = 1.9$ while the level of photon-number correlation at this time interval is $g^{(2)} = 0.6$ and the variance of photon number fluctuations equals to $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle = 0.24\langle n\rangle$, i.e. the oscillatory mode has sub-poissonian statistics. The result on the second-order correlation function is in accordance with analytical result for pure state $|2\rangle$. Indeed, it is easy to calculate that $\langle 2|(a^+)^2a^2|2\rangle = 2$ and thus $g^{(2)} = 0.5$ for $|2\rangle$ Fock state.

B. Reservoir effects in selective excitations of Fock states

Considering the reservoir at finite temperatures we realize that temperature effects can lead to decreasing of the populations in comparison to the case of zero-temperature reservoir on one side and change photon statistics of mode on the other side. The results for thermal photons with $n_{th} = 0.1$ are shown in Fig. 2 (a),(c). In order to illustrate the difference between the case of zero-temperature resonator we assume here the parameters as in the previous case depicted in Fig.1 (a),(c). As we see, the populations only are slightly decreased in the presence of temperature noise with $n_{th} = 0.1$ for two considered regimes (a) and (c). We show in Fig. 2 (b), (d) how the mean photon numbers and the second-order correlation function explicitly depend on the time-interval. As it can be seen, the maximal values of mean photon numbers are approximately the same as in the case of pure resonator, while quantum statistics of oscillatory mode is considerably changed due to the thermal noise. Indeed, in this case initial time-evolution of the system until a time-interval corresponding to the first pulse coming to cavity is described by the master equation without



FIG. 4. Time-evolution of the averaged photon number and the second-order correlation function for two regimes of KNR. The parameters are: (a),(c) $\Delta = 0$, $\chi/\gamma = 15$, $\Omega/\gamma = 6$; (b),(d) $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi$, $\chi/\gamma = 30$, $\Omega/\gamma = 12$. The mean number of reservoir photons: (a),(b) $n_{th} = 0.58$; (c),(d) $n_{th} = 1.9$. The other parameters are: $\tau = 5.5\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.4\gamma^{-1}$.

the interaction part, $\Omega f(t) = 0$. Thus, in this range the quantity $g^{(2)}$ describes the statistics of mode of an anharmonic oscillator in thermal reservoir. It displays time-dependent fluctuations around the level $g^{(2)} = 2$ that correspond to the statitics of thermal light mode. From the results in Fig. 2 (b), (d) it can also be infered that the correlation function is sharply increasing in the fronts of pulses. However, the peak values strongly depend on the parameters of nonlinearity and amplitude of pump field. So, for Fig.2 (b) the maximal value is observed as $g^{(2)} = 0.45$, while for Fig.2(d) the correation function equals to $g^{(2)} = 7$, (for $\langle n(t)\rangle = 0.5$), and corresponds to super-poissonan statistic of mode, $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle = 4\langle n\rangle$ at this time-interval.

It is interesting to analyse excitations of resonator mode for high levels of thermal photon numbers. In this way, in Fig. 3 we plot the maximal values of the population P_1 in dependence on n_{th} for the operational regime of KNR depicted in Fig.1 and Fig.2. As we see the population P_1 is strongly decreasing with increasing of the thermal photon level.

The correlation functions and the mean photon numbers for high levels of thermal photons are considered in details for two cases, with $n_{th} = 0.58$ and $n_{th} = 1.9$, in Fig. 4. We analyse finite temperature reservoir effects for one-photon and two-photon excitation regimes, corresponding to two values of the detuning: $\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi/\gamma$. As we see, in both these cases $g^{(2)}$ describes mainly the statistics of dissipative oscillatory mode with the dips that correspond to the peaks of the averaged photon numbers. Particularly, for the regime



FIG. 5. Time-evolution of the populations P_1 and P_2 . The parameters are: (a) $\chi/\gamma = 15$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 8$, the detuning $\Delta = 0$; (b) $\chi/\gamma = 30$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 12$, the detuning $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi$. The other parameters are: $\tau = 4\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.8\gamma^{-1}$.



FIG. 6. Time-evolution of the populations P_1 and P_2 . The parameters are: (a) $\chi/\gamma = 15$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 14$, the detuning $\Delta = 0$; (b) $\chi/\gamma = 30$, the maximum amplitude of pump field $\Omega/\gamma = 25$, the detuning $\Delta_2 = \omega_0 - \omega_2 = -\chi$. The other parameters are: $\tau = 5.5\gamma^{-1}$, $T = 0.4\gamma^{-1}$.

depicted in Fig. 4 (a) the peak of mean photon number $n = 0.8$ while the corresponding dip on $g^{(2)} = 0.3$ shows subpoissonian staistics of mode, $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle = 0.56\langle n\rangle$. The location of these dips and peaks in Fig. 4 are determined by time-intervals of Gaussian pulses. We also found that these dips and peaks deacrese, if the level of thermal noise increases.

C. Pulse-parameter effects in dynamics of the populations

It should be mentioned that the parameters of the Gaussian pulses in above consideration are free parameters and they might be chosen in order to control pulsed selective excitation of resonator mode. In the end of this section we shortly illustrate behavior of the populations by increasing the duration of pulses on one side and by increasing the amplitude of driving field on the other side for zero-temperature reservoir. In this way, we describe two regimes of excitation: one-photon and two-photon excitations of oscillatory mode.

For the case when the detuning $\Delta = \Delta_1 = 0$, i.e. the frequency of driving field $\omega = \omega_0$ and only one-photon transition $|0\rangle \to |1\rangle$ is effectively realized, the results for the population of $|1\rangle$ Fock state are shown in Fig. 5 (a) for the duration of pulses $T = 0.8\gamma^{-1}$. In this case, only two energetic levels of mode are effectively involved in the Rabi-like oscillations of the populations P_0 and P_1 of vacuum and first excitation number states that is demonstrated in Fig.5 (a). Comparing this result with analogous one depicted in Fig. 1(a) we conclude that the number of Rabi oscillations increases with increasing of the duration of pulses. The population P_2 is shown in Fig. 5 (b) for the case of two-photon excitation assuming $\Delta = -\chi$. As we see, time-dependence of the population displays Rabi oscillation in difference from the population shown in Fig.1 (c) for the case of more short pulses. The analogous situation takes place for photon populations in increasing of the amplitude of pump field in comparisson with the case of Fig. 1(c). The results for the case of more strong pump field, $\Omega/\gamma = 14$ and $\Omega/\gamma = 25$ are presented in Figs. 6. As we see, the Rabi-like oscillations of the populations increase if the pump amplitude increases.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have investigated selective excitation of photon-states in a lossy Kerr-nonlinear resonator at finite temperatures driven by a sequence of Gaussian pulses. In quantum regime realized for strong Kerr nonlinearities with respect to the rate of damping of the oscillatory mode one-photon excitation $|0\rangle \to |1\rangle$ at the frequency $E_{10} = \hbar\omega_0$, as well as two-photon excitation $|0\rangle \to |2\rangle$ at the frequency $E_{20} = 2\hbar\omega_0 + 2\chi$ have been analyzed in details.

We have demonstrated that the larger photon-number populations of the resonator can be reached if shaped pulses are implemented. The dynamics of mean number of photons and populations of Fock states follow almost to the train of Gaussian pulses and display Rabi oscillations and the broadening of the shapes in comparison with Gaussian envelopes. These oscillations are due to the radiative decays of the mode in a resonator for time-intervals between pulses. We have illustrated the increasing of the number of Rabi oscillations with increasing of the duration of pulses as well as with increasing of the amplitude of driving field.

We have demonstrated that temperature effects leads to decreasing of the populations in comparison with the zero-temperature case on one side and also change cardinally photon statitics of mode on the other side. Particularly, this behaviour on the maximal values of the population P_1 in dependence on n_{th} has been illustrated in Fig. 3 for wide range of the thermal photon level. The quantum statistics of mode is described on the framework of non-stationary second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}$ and the mean photon number fluctuations $\langle(\Delta n)^2\rangle$. In this way, we have studied engineering of photon statistics via a thermal reservoir that is illustrated in Fig. 2(b), (d) and Fig. 4. In case of high level of reservoir photons, $g^{(2)}$ describes mainly the statistics of dissipative mode of the anharmonic oscillator in thermal reservoir with the dips that correspond to the peaks of the averaged photon numbers. The location of these dips showing photon antibunching are determined by time-intervals of Gaussian pulses.

We acknowledge support from the Armenian State Committee of Science, the Project No.13-1C031. G. Yu. K. acknowledges discussions with Lock Yue Chew, I. A. Shelykh and S. R. Shahinyan.

[1] I. Kovacic and M. J. Brennan, The Duffing Equation: Nonlinear Oscillators and Their Behaviour (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2011).
[2] M. Dykman, Fluctuating Nonlinear Oscillators, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).
[3] Y. X. Liu, A. Miranowicz, Y. B. Gao, J. Bajer, C. P. Sun, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A **82**, (2010) 032101.
[4] A. Faraon, A. Majumdar, and J. Vučković, Phys. Rev. A **81**,(2010) 033838 .
[5] A. Miranowicz, M. Paprzycka, Y. X. Liu, J. Bajer, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A **87**, (2013) 023809 .
[6] G. H. Hovsepyan, A. R. Shahinyan, and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **90**,(2014) 013839 .
[7] A. Miranowicz, J. Bajer, M. Paprzycka, Yu-xi Liu, A. M. Zagoskin, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A **90**,(2014) 033831.
[8] T. V. Gevorgyan, A. R. Shahinyan, and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **85**,(2012) 053802.
[9] T.V. Gevorgyan and G.Yu. Kryuchkyan, Journal of Modern Optics **60**,(2013) 860.
[10] A. Miranowicz, M. Paprzycka, A. Pathak, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A **89**, (2014) 033812 .
[11] Yu-xi Liu, Xun-Wei Xu, A. Miranowicz, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A **89**, (2014) 043818 .
[12] W. Leoński and R. Tanaś, Phys. Rev. A**49**, (1994) R20.
[13] A. Imamoglu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, (1997) 1467; ibid **81**, (1998) 2833.
[14] W. Leoński and A. Kowalewska-Kudaszyk, Progress in Optics, **56**, (2011) 131.
[15] K. M. Birnbaum, A. Boca, R. Miller, A.D. Boozer, T. E. Northup, and H. J. Kimble, Nature (London) **436**,(2005) 87.
[16] L. S. Bishop, J. M. Chow, J. Koch, A. A. Houck, M. H. Devoret, E. Thuneberg, S.M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature Phys. **5**,(2008) 105 .
[17] J. M. Fink, M. Goppl, M. Baur, R. Bianchetti, A. Leek, P. J. Blais, and A. Wallraf, Nature (London) **454**,(2008) 315.
[18] A. Faraon, I. Fushman, D. Englund, N. Stoltz, P. Petro, and J. Vučković, Nature Phys. **4**,(2008) 859 .
[19] M. J. Hartmann, F. G. S. L. Brandao, and M. B. Plenio, Laser Photon. Rev. **2**,(2008) 527 .
[20] N. Na, S. Utsunomiya, L. Tian, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys.

Rev. A **77**,(2008) 031803 .
[21] S. Schmidt and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**,(2009) 086403 .
[22] A. Tomadin, V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, D. Gerace, I.Carusotto, H. E. Tureci, and A. Imamoglu, Phys. Rev. A **81**,(2010) 061801.
[23] J. Q. Liao and C. K. Law, Phys. Rev. A **82**, (2010) 053836.
[24] S. Ferretti, L. C. Andreani, H. E. Türeci, and D. Gerace, Phys. Rev. A **82**,(2010) 013841.
[25] C. Lang, D. Bozyigit, C. Eichler, L. Steffen, J. M. Fink, A. A. Abdumalikov, M. Baur, S. Filipp, M. P. da Silva, A. Blais, and A. Wallraff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**,(2011) 243601.
[26] A. J. Hoffman, S. J. Srinivasan, S. Schmidt, L. Spietz, J. Aumentado, H. E. Türeci, and A. A. Houck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, (2011) 053602 .
[27] G. Kirchmair, B. Vlastakis, Z. Leghtas, S. E. Nigg, H. Paik, E. Ginossar, M. Mirrahimi, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature (London) **495**, (2013) 205.
[28] T. V. Gevorgyan, A. R. Shahinyan, and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **79**,(2009) 053828.
[29] T. V. Gevorgyan, A. R. Shahinyan, Lock Yue Chew and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. E **88**,(2013) 022910.
[30] A. R. Shahinyan, Lock Yue Chew, G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Lett. A **377**, (2013) 2743.
[31] H. H. Adamyan and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **74**, (2006) 023810.
[32] N. H. Adamyan, H. H. Adamyan, and G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **77**,(2008) 023820.
[33] G.P. Hildred, S. S.Hassan, R. R. Puri and R. K. Bullough, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **16** (1983) 1703.
[34] G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, JETP **82** (I), (1996) 60.
[35] G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, N. T. Mouradyan, A. S. Sargsian, Optics Communications **152**, (1998) 175.
[36] G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, M. Jakob, A. S. Sargsian, Phys. Rev. A **57**,(1998) 2091.
[37] M. Jakob, G. Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **58**,(1998) 767.
[38] M. Jakob, G.Yu. Kryuchkyan, Phys. Rev. A **57**,(1998) 1355.
[39] P. D. Drummond and D. F. Walls, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **13**,(1980) 725 .
[40] G. Yu. Kryuchkyan and K. V. Kheruntsyan, Opt. Comm. **120**,(1996) 132 .
[41] K. V. Kheruntsyan, D.S. Krahmer, G.Yu. Kryuchkyan, K.G. Petrossian, Opt. Comm. **139**,(1997) 157.
[42] K. V. Kherunstyan, J. Opt. B: Quantum Simclassical Opt.**1**, (1999) 225.
[43] J. Bourassa, F. Beaudoin, Jay M. Gambetta, and A. Blais, Phys. Rev. A **86** ,(2012) 013814 .
[44] A. Miranowicz and W. Leoński, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **6** (2004) S43.
[45] R. S. Said et al, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **39**,(2006) 1269.
[46] A. Kowalewska-Kudaszyk and W. Leoński, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **43**, (2010) 205503.
[47] R.S. Said, M.R.B. Wahiddin, B.A. Umarov, Phys. Lett. A **365**, (2007) 380.